Einstein's Universe



Dr Martin Hendry

Dept of Physics and Astronomy University of Glasgow, UK

SNAP Workshop, 13th June 2007

Who am I?...



UNIVERSITY of GLASGOW









William Thompson (Lord Kelvin) 1824 - 1907









Current list of 'Reach for the Stars' lectures

- The Physics of Star Wars
- Telescopes: from Galileo to Hubble
- Empire of the Sun
- The Life and Death of Stars
- Getting the Measure of the Universe
- Overwhelmingly Large Telescopes
- Five Millennia of Scottish Astronomy
- A History of Astronomy in Glasgow
- Crescent Moon Rising
- Stargazers of Ancient Mexico
- The Search Beyond the Sun
- Death of the Dinosaurs
- What if the Moon Didn't Exist?
- Putting the Iron in Irn Bru
- Why Are We Here?
- Light in Lumps or Ripples
- Einstein's Universe

- E.T. Life: Is There Anybody Out There?
- Life in the Universe
- In the Beginning
- Cosmology: the Final Frontier
- The Realm of the Nebulae
- Dark Energy
- Echoes of the Big Bang
- A Recipe for Galaxy Formation
- Hubble Vision
- The Runaway Universe
- From Quarks to Quasars
- The Future of the Universe
- Cosmology in the Third Millennium
- Rocket Science
- Deep Impacts
- To Infinity and Beyond
- Exploring the Sky

Further information from: <u>martin@astro.gla.ac.uk</u> Or via: http://www.physics.gla.ac.uk/

Who am I?...



UNIVERSITY of GLASGOW









William Thompson (Lord Kelvin) 1824 - 1907 "There is nothing new to be discovered in physics now. All that remains is more and more precise measurement"





Einstein's "Annus Mirabilis": 1905

ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time-when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1



1916.

518

M 7.

ANNALEN DER PHYSIK. VIERTE FOLGE. BAND 49.

 Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie; von A, Einstein.

Die im nachfolgenden dargelegte Theorie bildet die denkbar weitgehendste Verallgemeinerung der heute allgemein als "Relativitätstheorie" bezeichneten Theorie; die letztere nenne ich im folgenden zur Unterscheidung von der ersteren "spezielle Relativitätstheorie" und setze sie als bekannt voraus. Die Verallgemeinerung der Relativitätstheorie wurde sehr erleichtert durch die Gestalt, welche der speziellen Relativitätstheorie durch Minkowski gegeben wurde, welcher Mathematiker zuerst die formale Gleichwertigkeit der räumlichen Koordinaten und der Zeitkoordinate klar erkannte und für den Aufbau der Theorie nutzbar machte. Die für die allgemeine Relativitätstheorie nötigen mathematischen Hilfsmittel lagen fertig bereit in dem "absoluten Differentialkalkül". welcher auf den Forschungen von Gauss, Riemann und Christoffel über nichtenklidische Mannigfaltigkeiten ruht und von Ricci und Levi-Civita in ein System gebracht und bereits auf Probleme der theoretischen Physik angewendet wurde. Ich habe im Abschnitt B der vorliegenden Abhandlung alle für uns nötigen, bei dem Physiker nicht als bekannt vorauszusetzenden mathematischen Hilfsmittel in möglichst einfacher und durchsichtiger Weise entwickelt, so daß ein Studium mathematischer Literatur für das Verständnis der vorliegenden Abhandlung nicht erforderlich ist. Endlich sei an dieser Stelle dankbar meines Freundes, des Mathematikers Grossmann, gedacht, der mir durch seine Hilfe nicht nur das Studium der einschlägigen mathematischen Löteratur ersparte, sondern mich auch beim Suchen nach den Feldgleichungen der Gravitation unterstützte.

Annalen der Physik. 1V. Felge. 40.

B SHEWERT VCK Welly General Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly General E Co. K Clar, Neurosci B SHEWERT VCK Welly K SHEWERT VCK Welly K SHEWERT VCK WEI Co. K SHEWER





"The greatest feat of human thinking about nature, the most amazing combination of philosophical penetration, physical intuition and mathematical skill."

Max Born





- Colleague: "Professor Eddington, you must be one of only three persons in the world who understand relativity!"
- Eddington: "oh, I don't know..."





- Colleague: "Professor Eddington, you must be one of only three persons in the world who understand relativity!"
- Eddington: "oh, I don't know..."
- Colleague: "Don't be modest Eddington."

Eddington: " On the contrary, I am trying to think who the third person is."



A group of some of the honorary graduates taken after the ceremony in the Buts Hall of Clasgow University yesterday. Left to right-The Right Hon. Sir Robert S. Horne; Emeritus Professor William Blair-Bell, University of Liverpool; Professor Albert Einstein; Principal Sir Robert S. Rait; the Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of All Ireland; and M. Edouard Herriot, former Prime Minister of France.

- Enduring iconic status
- "Unconventional" approach
- Broader cultural impact
- Scientific legacy

- Enduring iconic status
- o "Unconventional" approach
- o Broader cultural impact
- o Scientific legacy













- o Enduring iconic status
- "Unconventional" approach
- o Broader cultural impact
- o Scientific legacy





"The only thing that interferes with my learning is my education"



"The only thing that interferes with my learning is my education"



"Do not worry about your difficulties in *mathematics;* I can assure you that mine are still greater."



"Science is a wonderful thing, if one does not have to earn one's living at it" The anther (decempende of the analysis for each of the advected index on ling). Search of the second of the points is and the second of the second o

TELLS US ABOUT The mind



Alison Gopnik, Ph.D. Andrew N. Meltzoff, Ph.D. Patricia K. Kuhl, Ph.D.



Did we *really* land on the Moon?





Dr Martin Hendry University of Glasgow

- o Enduring iconic status
- o "Unconventional" approach
- Broader cultural impact
- o Scientific legacy



"As long as I have any choice in the matter, I shall live only in a country where civil liberty, tolerance, and equality of all citizens before the law prevail." Albert Sinstein Old Grove Rd. Nassau Point Peconic, Long Island

August 2nd, 1939

P.D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, White House Washington, D.C.

Sir:

Some recent work by E.Fermi and L. Szilard, which has been communicated to me in manuscript, leads me to expect that the element uranium may be turned into a new and important source of energy in the immediate future. Certain aspects of the situation which has arisen seem to call for matchfulness and, if necessary, quick action on the part of the Administration. I bolieve therefore that it is my duty to bring to your attention the following facts and recommendations:

In the course of the last four months it has been made probable through the work of Joliot in France as well as Fermi and Szilard in America - that it may become possible to set up a nuclear chain reaction in a large mass of uranium, by which wast amounts of power and large quantities of new radium-like elements would be generated. Now it appears almost certain that this could be achieved in the immediate future.

This new phenomenon would also lead to the construction of bombs, and it is conceivable - though much less certain - that extremely powerful bombs of a new type may thus be constructed. A single bomb of this type, carried by boat and exploded in a port, might very well destroy the whole port together with some of the surrounding territory. However, such bombs might very well prove to be too heavy for transportation by air.



- o Enduring iconic status
- o "Unconventional" approach
- o Broader cultural impact
- Scientific legacy







Einstein's Miraculous Year

- Relativity
- Atomic physics
- Quantum physics





- 1905 Special relativity
- 1916 General relativity
- 1923 Nobel prize for work on photoelectric effect

1905 – Theory of Special Relativity



"You can't tell if you're moving"
Newton's physics assumes absolute space and time, for all observers.

Newton's physics assumes absolute space and time, for all observers.





@ OIMSS - Firenze

Newton's physics assumes absolute space and time, for all observers. Working out how things look to different observers follows simple

rules, in different *reference frames*



Viewed from the red car's rest frame

Newton's physics assumes absolute space and time, for all observers. Working out how things look to different observers follows simple rules, in different *reference frames*



Viewed from the blue car's rest frame

Classical Physics: James Clerk Maxwell's theory of light



Light is a *wave (*caused by varying *electric* and *magnetic* fields) But what if I travelled *alongside* a light beam? Would it still wave?







In Newton's picture, the relative speed of the two trains is 50 + 50 = 100mph





ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time—when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time—when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time—when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion

"The only reason for time is so that everything doesn't happen at once."



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time—when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion

"Put your hand on a hot stove for a minute, and it seems like an hour. Sit with a pretty girl for an hour and it seems like a minute. THAT'S relativity."



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time—when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion

> Unified *spacetime*



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time-when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

- Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion
- > Unified *spacetime*
- Equivalence of matter and energy







Galilean Moons: 1610

Galileo Galilei: 1564 – 1642 AD











Isaac Newton: 1642 – 1727 AD The Principia: 1684 - 1686

Law of Universal Gravitation

Every object in the Universe attracts every other object with a force directed along the line of centers for the two objects that is proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the separation between the two objects.

$$F_{g} = G \frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{r^{2}} \qquad \bigoplus_{m_{1}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_$$



Nowadays we can use radar:

Distance = Speed x Time

Nowadays we can use radar:

Distance = Speed x Time

But what is the speed of light?...













Light waves

Wavelength



Light waves

Wavelength



Light waves

Wavelength

Speed = 2450 million \times wavelength

Х

Light travels 300,000 km every Second.....

.....That's about 10 million, million kilometres every year!!!



ON THE ELECTRODYNAMICS OF MOVING BODIES

By A. EINSTEIN

June 30, 1905

It is known that Maxwell's electrodynamics—as usually understood at the present time-when applied to moving bodies, leads to asymmetries which do not appear to be inherent in the phenomena. Take, for example, the reciprocal electrodynamic action of a magnet and a conductor. The observable phenomenon here depends only on the relative motion of the conductor and the magnet, whereas the customary view draws a sharp distinction between the two cases in which either the one or the other of these bodies is in motion. For if the magnet is in motion and the conductor at rest, there arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet an electric field with a certain definite energy, producing a current at the places where parts of the conductor are situated. But if the magnet is stationary and the conductor in motion, no electric field arises in the neighbourhood of the magnet. In the conductor, however, we find an electromotive force, to which in itself there is no corresponding energy, but which gives rise-assuming equality of relative motion in the two cases discussed-to electric currents of the same path and intensity as those produced by the electric forces in the former case.

Examples of this sort, together with the unsuccessful attempts to discover any motion of the earth relatively to the "light medium," suggest that the phenomena of electrodynamics as well as of mechanics possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute rest. They suggest rather that, as has already been shown to the first order of small quantities, the same laws of electrodynamics and optics will be valid for all frames of reference for which the equations of mechanics hold good.¹ We will raise this conjecture (the purport of which will hereafter be called the "Principle of Relativity") to the status of a postulate, and also introduce another postulate, which is only apparently irreconcilable with the former, namely, that light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body. These two postulates suffice for the attainment of a simple and consistent theory of the electrodynamics of moving bodies based on Maxwell's theory for stationary bodies. The introduction of a "luminiferous ether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space" provided with special properties, nor

¹The preceding memoir by Lorentz was not at this time known to the author.

1

- Measurements of space and time are *relative* and depend on our motion
- > Unified *spacetime*
- Equivalence of matter and energy



Special Relativity: 1905

Implies the speed of light must be constant, measured to be the same by any two observers, regardless of their relative motion"



This abolished completely Newton's idea that space and time were *absolute*



Let's try to see why!



Let's try to see why!

Distance = speed x time



Distance = speed x time



$$2\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{c}}$$

Now viewed from the platform...

Now viewed from the platform...



Now viewed from the platform...












Let's call the time measured on the platform t_P



Let's call the time measured on the platform $t_{\rm P}$



Let's call the time measured on the platform $t_{\rm P}$



The base of this triangle is $v t_P$



This is an isosceles triangle, so it's made up of two equal right angled triangles



This is an isosceles triangle, so it's made up of two equal right angled triangles



This is an isosceles triangle, so it's made up of two equal right angled triangles



Let's look at this triangle. What's the length of its base?











Remember: $2h = c \times t_c$

Distance = speed x time



$$2\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{c}}$$



Remember: $2h = c \times t_c$



If both observers measure the same speed of light, c...



If both observers measure the same speed of light, c...



If both observers measure the same speed of light, c...



Using Pythagoras' theorem, $(ct_P)^2 = (vt_P)^2 + (ct_c)^2$



$$t_{c} = t_{P}\sqrt{(1 - v^{2}/c^{2})}$$

It appears that time is running more slowly on the moving train!

We need to think about a unified *spacetime*

$$t_{c} = t_{P}\sqrt{(1 - v^{2}/c^{2})}$$



Evidence for Time Dilation

Slow moving muons, would never reach sea level...

but v = 0.999c, so muon lifetime appears to us to be greatly extended

Sea level

Einstein's Relativity

300,000 kms⁻¹



The speed of light is the ultimate speed limit in the Universe Just as special relativity shows that space and time are inextricably connected, so too are energy and momentum Just as special relativity shows that space and time are inextricably connected, so too are energy and momentum

Particles have a particular rest mass, which is the mass you would measure if the particle is at rest Just as special relativity shows that space and time are inextricably connected, so too are energy and momentum

Particles have a particular **rest mass**, which is the mass you would measure if the particle is at rest

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}^2$

Mass and energy are equivalent

Hydrogen fusion – fuelling a star's nuclear furnace





Einstein's Relativity

How does gravity fit into this?





General Relativity: 1916



Isaac Newton: 1642 – 1727 AD The Principia: 1684 - 1686

Law of Universal Gravitation

Every object in the Universe attracts every other object with a force directed along the line of centers for the two objects that is proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the separation between the two objects.

$$F_{g} = G \frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{r^{2}} \qquad \bigoplus_{m_{1}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \frac{r}{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_{2}} \bigoplus_{m_$$







Aristotle's Theory:

- 1. Objects move only as long as we apply a force to them
- 2. Falling bodies fall at a constant rate
- *3. Heavy bodies fall faster than light ones*





Galileo's Experiment:

- Objects keep moving after we stop applying a force (if no friction)
- 2. Falling bodies accelerate as they fall
- *3. Heavy bodies fall at the same rate as light ones*


Gravity in Einstein's Universe



"Spacetime tells matter how to move, and matter tells spacetime how to curve"











1919 expedition, led by Arthur Eddington, to observe total solar eclipse, and measure light deflection.

GR passed the test!









"He was one of the finest people I have ever known....but he didn't really understand physics. During the eclipse...he stayed up all night to see if it would confirm the bending of light by the gravitational field. If he had really understood general relativity, he would have gone to bed the way I did."



Edwin Hubble





Spacetime is expanding like the surface of a balloon.

As the balloon expands, galaxies are carried farther apart





Will the Universe continue to expand forever?

To find out we need to compare the expansion rate now with the expansion rate in the distant past...

> Is the Universe speeding up or slowing down?











Closed

Open



Closed



Flat

Summary:

The shape of the Universe is FLAT

The Universe will continue to expand for ever

The expansion is <u>accelerating</u>



Gravity in Einstein's Universe



Gravitational Waves

Gravity in Einstein's Universe



Gravitational Waves

Worldwide network of gravitational wave detectors



Worldwide network of gravitational wave detectors







The LISA Mission

NASA / ESA project, scheduled for ~2015

Network of gravitational wave detectors: 3 spacecraft, 5 million km apart, linked by lasers

<u></u>









Current list of 'Reach for the Stars' lectures

- The Physics of Star Wars
- Telescopes: from Galileo to Hubble
- Empire of the Sun
- The Life and Death of Stars
- Getting the Measure of the Universe
- Overwhelmingly Large Telescopes
- Five Millennia of Scottish Astronomy
- A History of Astronomy in Glasgow
- Crescent Moon Rising
- Stargazers of Ancient Mexico
- The Search Beyond the Sun
- Death of the Dinosaurs
- What if the Moon Didn't Exist?
- Putting the Iron in Irn Bru
- Why Are We Here?
- Light in Lumps or Ripples
- Einstein's Universe

- E.T. Life: Is There Anybody Out There?
- Life in the Universe
- In the Beginning
- Cosmology: the Final Frontier
- The Realm of the Nebulae
- Dark Energy
- Echoes of the Big Bang
- A Recipe for Galaxy Formation
- Hubble Vision
- The Runaway Universe
- From Quarks to Quasars
- The Future of the Universe
- Cosmology in the Third Millennium
- Rocket Science
- Deep Impacts
- To Infinity and Beyond
- Exploring the Sky

Further information from: <u>martin@astro.gla.ac.uk</u> Or via: http://www.physics.gla.ac.uk/