

#### Probing the Intrinsic Properties of Short Gamma-Ray Bursts using Gravitational Wave Detections

#### Calum de Saint Croix

Chris Messenger\* Siong Heng Martin Hendry Xilong Fan

#### Outline

- Joint GRB-GW detections
- The aim
- The model
- Single event
- Combining information
- The simulation
- Results
- Conclusions



#### Joint GRB-GW observations

- sGRBs are likely due to the merger of BNS systems [Eichler et al, 1989, Nature 340, 126, Narayan et al, 1992, ApJ. 395, L83]
- Advanced detectors expect to see BNS systems at design up to 450Mpc (z ~ 0.1).
- sGRBs are seen to far higher distances.
- Rates of events are consistent (beaming is an important factor).
- Advanced detectors expect to see ~1 joint event per year. [Clark et al, 2015, ApJ, 809, 53]
- 3rd gen is a different story



**Figure 2.** Cumulative detection rate of SGRBs with measured redshifts  $\langle z \rangle$  (thick solid line), calculated using 19 (mostly *Swift*) SGRBs (e.g., Berger 2011). Dashed vertical lines mark the estimated sensitivity range of ALIGO/Virgo to NS–NS and NS–BH mergers, respectively, including a boost due to the face-on binary orientation. The thin solid line shows an approximate fit to  $\dot{N}_{\text{GRB,obs}}(\langle z \rangle)$  at low redshift. The dot-dashed line shows an estimate of the *total* SGRB detection rate (with or without redshift information) by an all-sky  $\gamma$ -ray telescope with a sensitivity similar to *Fermi*/GBM.

#### The aim

- This talk will address the issue of what we can
  learn from combining information.
- The example we choose is the **intrinsic luminosity distribution** of sGRBs.
- Specifically those without an identified host galaxy.
- The additional information extracted from the GW detection can help us determine *L*.
- A collection of such detections can determine the distribution of *L*.
- Existing results for GRBs [Wanderman & Piran, 2015, MNRAS, 448, 3026, Pescalli *et al*, 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1911, Howell *et al*, 2014, MNRAS, 444, 15]



#### The model

- We have assumed that every BNS merger generates a sGRB, but only see the sGRB if the inclination angle (i) is < the jet opening angle ( $\theta_{jet}$ ).
- We assume that we have a very well localised sGRB without a host galaxy.
- The only EM information we have about the event is the peak flux (f) with a 30% Gaussian uncertainty.
- The jet half-opening angle  $(\theta_{jet})$  is drawn from a uniform distribution (5°,30°) degs.
- We have access to the full Bayesian posterior on the GW parameters but he relevant GW parameters are distance (*D*) and inclination (*i*).
- The peak luminosity (*L*) of the sGRB is drawn from a power-law distribution and has a fixed lower and upper cut-off.
- We aim to determine the spectral index  $(\gamma)$ .

## Combining information - single event

- The flux measurement provides massive degeneracy between distance and luminosity (and jet angle).
- The GW measurement gives some (poor) distance constraints, but ...
- The constraint on the inclination angle from the jet improves the distance and reduces the luminosity uncertainty.
- See Siong Heng's talk.



#### Combining information - multiple events

- We use a Hierarchical Bayesian approach.
- The global spectral index parameter  $\gamma$  governs the luminosity prior on all events.



We use a simple trick to perform marginalisation over *D*,*i* - involves simple summing over GW samples.

### Simulation

- We simulated 1000 joint sGRB-GW events.
- We assumed an H-L-V Advanced network at design sensitivity.
- All sGRB luminosities were sampled from a power-law distribution with index  $\gamma = -1.4$ .
- Distances were uniform in volume up to the advanced network horizon distance (450 Mpc).
- Posterior GW samples were obtained using *lalinference*.
- No SNR cuts were applied.

Fig: The joint distribution of simulated inclination and jet opening angles must be uniform on cos and theta but restricted by the beaming selection effects



#### Results

- The individual sources (dashed curves) do not particularly constrain the power-law index.
- Multiple observations are far more powerful.
- The spectral index is constrained to  $\pm 0.2$  after O(10) joint detections.
- After O(100) this is  $\pm 0.1$ .



Fig: Preliminary results from Calum de Sainte Croix's Masters project showing the evolution of the 95% credible region as the number of joint detections is increased. Inset: the individual posterior probability distributions on the power-law index/exponent (coloured dashed lines) from 100 joint sGRB-GW detections. The solid black line is the combined posterior and the vertical black dashed-dotted line is the true simulated value.

#### Conclusions

- So using the relationships between the EM and GW parameters we can infer parameter(s) describing the signal population.
- We only need O(10) sources to make a constraining measurement (error scale consistent with existing EM methods. [Wanderman & Piran, 2015, MNRAS, 448, 3026]
- This is not unfeasible for Advanced Detectors but not likely given the expected rates.
- 3rd generation detectors will see the majority of BNS mergers with an sGRB counterpart.
- So powerful inference will be possible.



#### More conclusions

- Hold on, what about the ~30% of all sGRBs that have a redshift/host-galaxy and therefore a distance?
  - Can't we do this right now without GWs?
  - Ultimately, with GWs luminosities for nearly all sGRBs can be used.
- There are lots of additional selection effects that should be included.
- We can also add additional parameters (broken power-law?, more complicated parameter dependence?, ...) and perform **model selection**.



# Thank you for your attention.

#### **Gravitational Wave Astronomy**

The 73rd Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics

Confirmed speakers include:

Prof Nils Andersson, University of Southampton, UK Dr Marie Anne Bizouard, CNRS, France Dr Joan Centrella, NASA, USA Prof Karsten Danzmann, AEI Hannover, Germany Prof Andreas Freise, University of Birmingham, ÚK Prof Giles Hammond, University of Glasgow, UK Prof Mark Hannam, Cardiff University, UK Prof Martin Hendry, University of Glasgow, UK Prof Jim Hough, University of Glasgow, UK Dr Oliver Jennrich, ESA, Netherlands Prof Nergis Mavalvala, MIT, USA Dr Maria Alessandra Papa, AEI Hannover, Germany Prof Sheila Rowan, University of Glasgow, UK Prof Stephen Smartt, Queen's University Belfast, UK Prof Peter Saulson, Syracuse University, USA Prof B.S. Sathyaprakash, Penn State, USA Prof Alicia Sintes, University of the Balearic Islands, Spain Prof Niall Tanvir, University of Leicester, UK Dr Chris Van Den Broeck, Nihkef, Netherlands

University of St Andrews Scotland 23 July - 5 August 2017

General Relativity Astrophysical sources Detectors Data Analysis Multi-messenger Industrial, policy and outreach talks



Further info & registration: http://www.supa.ac.uk/research/sussp73.php Email enquiries to: Jenny.Anderson@glasgow.ac.uk

International Scientific Advisory Committee: Prof Bruce Allen, AEI Hannover, Germany Dr Marica Branchesi, Urbino University, Italy Prof Alessandra Buonanno, AEI Golm, Germany Prof Yanbei Chen, Caltech, US Prof Karsten Danzmann, AEI Hannover, Germany Prof James Hough, University of Glasgow, UK Prof Viki Kalogera, Northwestern University, US Prof Sheila Rowan, University of Glasgow, UK Prof Peter Shawhan, University of Maryland, UK Prof Masaru Shibata, Kyoto University, Japan Prof Niall Tanvir, University of Leicester, UK Prof Aberto Vecchio, University of Birmingham, UK

Local Organising Committee: Dr Christian Killow Dr Chris Messenger Dr Ik Siong Heng Dr Jonathan Gair Prof Stuart Reid

Science & Technology

**Bursaries available** 

SUPA

