

Astronomical Data Analysis I

Examples Sheet 2, Model Answers

Q.1 a) $\text{var}(ax) = E([ax - E(ax)]^2)$

$$E(ax) = \int ax p(x) dx = a E(x)$$

So $\text{var}(ax) = E([a(x - E(x))]^2) = a^2 \text{var}(x)$

b) $\text{cov}(ax, by) = E[(ax - a\bar{x})(by - b\bar{y})]$

$$= E[ab(x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})]$$

$$= ab \text{cov}(x, y)$$

c) We can use the general result $\text{cov}(x, y) = E[(x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})] = E(xy) - E(x)E(y)$

Thus $\text{cov}(x, x+y) = E(x(x+y)) - E(x)E(x+y)$
 $= E(x^2) + E(xy) - E(x)^2 - E(x)E(y)$
 $= \text{var}(x) + \text{cov}(x, y)$

d) $\text{cov}(x+y, x-y) = E((x+y)(x-y)) - E(x+y)E(x-y)$

$$= E(x^2 - y^2) - E(x)^2 + E(y)^2$$

$$= E(x^2) - E(x)^2 - [E(y^2) - E(y)^2]$$

$$= \text{var}(x) - \text{var}(y)$$

(a) + (b) $\Rightarrow$ correlation coefficient is independent of scale.

$$\rho[ax, by] = \frac{\text{cov}(ax, by)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(ax)} \sqrt{\text{var}(by)}} = \frac{ab \text{cov}(x, y)}{a \sqrt{\text{var}(x)} b \sqrt{\text{var}(y)}} = \rho(x, y)$$

Q.2 Mean number of quasars per square degree $= \frac{2340}{1000} = 2.34$

$$p(< 5) = p(0) + p(1) + \dots + p(4)$$

$$p(r) = \frac{\mu^r e^{-\mu}}{r!} \Rightarrow p(<5) = e^{-2.34} \left[1 + 2.34 + \frac{2.34^2}{2} + \frac{2.34^3}{6} + \frac{2.34^4}{24} \right]$$

$$= 91.1\%$$

We require μ = mean number of quasars per unit area, A , such that
 $p(0) = e^{-\mu} = 0.01$ in area A
 i.e. $-\mu = \ln 0.01$
 $\mu = 4.605$

Since the observed rate is 2.34 per square degree $\Rightarrow A = \frac{4.605}{2.34}$
 $= 1.97 \text{ sq. deg.}$

Q.3

- (a) The Poisson distribution describes the probability of n events occurring, given a (constant) mean occurrence rate, λ , and given that the probability of two or more events occurring simultaneously is zero.
- (b) Here the mean rate is 2 fires per day, so the probability of n fires occurring on a particular day is

$$P(n) = e^{-2} \frac{2^n}{n!}.$$

We can therefore write:

$$P(0) = e^{-2} = 0.135$$

$$P(1) = 2e^{-2} = 0.271, \quad \text{so} \quad P(\leq 1) = 0.406$$

$$P(2) = 2e^{-2} = 0.271, \quad \text{so} \quad P(\leq 2) = 0.677$$

$$P(3) = \frac{4}{3}e^{-2} = 0.180, \quad \text{so} \quad P(\leq 3) = 0.857$$

$$P(4) = \frac{2}{3}e^{-2} = 0.090, \quad \text{so} \quad P(\leq 4) = 0.947.$$

There is a 94.7 percent probability of there being 4 or fewer fires per day, so 4 engines are sufficient to attend all the fires of Glasgow > 90 percent of the time.

Q.4

$$\text{Prob}(\geq 2 \text{ non-binaries}) > 99\% \Leftrightarrow \text{Prob}(n \text{ binaries}) + \text{Prob}(n-1 \text{ binaries}) < 0.01$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (0.6)^n + n(0.6)^{n-1}(0.4) < 0.01$$

$$\text{Let } f(n) = 0.6^{n-1} [0.6 + 0.4n]$$

$$\text{calculation shows that } f(13) = 0.0126$$

$$f(14) = 0.008$$

$\Rightarrow$ we need at least 14 stars in our sample

Q.5

$$\text{Sample mean, } \hat{r} = \frac{0 \times 30 + 1 \times 62 + 2 \times 46 + 3 \times 10 + 4 \times 2}{150}$$

$$= 1.28$$

$$\text{Setting } E(r) = \hat{r} = np = 1.28 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = 0.32 \quad (\text{since } n=4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{predicted no. of nights with } r \text{ plates} \\ &= 150 \cdot p(r; \hat{p}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow N(0) = 32 \quad N(1) = 60 \quad N(2) = 43 \quad N(3) = 13 \quad N(4) = 2$$

(all to nearest integer)

Q.6

$$\text{Set } E(r) = \hat{r} = \lambda \quad \text{i.e. } \lambda = 1.28 \quad p(r; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^r e^{-\lambda}}{r!}$$

$$\text{Again } N(r) = 150 p(r; \lambda)$$

$$\Rightarrow N(0) = 42 \quad N(1) = 53 \quad N(2) = 34 \quad N(3) = 15 \quad N(4) = 5$$

The binomial model fits the data better.

For a binomial we have $r \leq 4$ (which is true!). For a Poisson model, $r \in \mathbb{N}$

Q.7 We form $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i}$

(a) Under binomial model, $\chi^2 = \frac{2^2}{32} + \frac{2^2}{60} + \frac{3^2}{43} + \frac{3^2}{13} + \frac{0^2}{2}$
 $= 1.09$

Under Poisson model, $\chi^2 = \frac{12^2}{42} + \frac{9^2}{53} + \frac{12^2}{34} + \frac{5^2}{15} + \frac{3^2}{5} = 12.65$

(b) No. of dof = 3 because there are 5 bins, but we subtract 1 dof because we know $\sum o_i = 150$ and another d.of. because we replace p and μ respectively by their sample estimate.

(c) Binomial - P-value = 0.7795
 Poisson - P-value = 0.0055

(d) This confirms that the binomial model is a much better fit, and the Poisson model is a poor fit

Q.8 We form $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(o_i - e_i)^2}{e_i}$ where $e_i = 100$ for uniformly random digits
 $\Rightarrow \chi^2 = \frac{1}{100} (8^2 + 9^2 + 8^2 + 1^2 + 15^2 + 12^2 + 9^2 + 1^2 + 14^2 + 11^2)$
 $= 9.78$

We have 9 dof (since $\sum o_i = 1000$), so $p = 0.3686$
 This suggests the digits are consistent with being uniformly random.